The wrong way...

by Billy

Translation of Billy's essay "Der falsche Weg" by Willem Mondria, Switzerland

The desire to prove one's case to other human beings is since time immemorial the wrong way, and for this reason one should never attempt to prove anything to someone else. The human being must always establish the reasoning in all matters within himself, namely through his effective perception and realisations, through his thoughts and feelings as well as through deep reflection, out of which understanding, knowledge, experience and the essence of the whole, wisdom, is gained. Wanting to prove something is wrong in every case where it concerns matters that each human being has to determine and recognise within himself through their own reason and own understanding—out of which the human being's own inner reasoning is generated. Other proofs, external ones, can only be given for car accidents or crimes and so on, when the facts have to be established in order to serve as evidence. However, when dealing with pure facts of reason and understanding, from which proof should be established, then it is absolutely wrong when someone wants to pursue an open and external giving of evidence. Matters of reason and understanding can only be proven through one's own considerations and conclusions, one's own thoughts and feelings as well as one's own inner perceptions and assessment. However, when in such a situation an attempt is made to prove something to someone, then it is as if the person receiving the proof was judged as being stupid or that the one giving the proof is putting his greater intelligence and cleverness on display. The stupidity of such attempts of proving something is also often substantiated in conversations where, for example, it is said: "I will now show or prove to you something, then we will finally see who is right."

Such talk of proving something is dumb, foolish and idiotic, for in every case only the inner perceptions, values, realisations, understanding and experiences as well as the inner knowledge and wisdom furnish real proof. Such proof corresponds to a rational and reasonable evaluation that results in something being recognised and acknowledged as true and therefore real. The logical form of the inner establishing of proof-reasoning is a joining of perceptions, realisations, cognitions and conclusions; they can be of various kinds but always lead to knowledge and to its essence, the truth, the conclusion of the matter, and thus its proof.

An inner proof is based on first creating clarity about the requirements or premises or rather the fundamental facts on which the things that are to be proven are based on. Only with this clarity is it at all possible to recognise the magnitude of information, or rather, potencies and facts, from which the evidence is to be collected. This evidence must then be produced through inner reflections, thoughts and feelings as well as through inner clarifications. After this, everything has to be organised according to its value so that they join together in an unbroken chain, and in the end are formed in such a way that it establishes the final result—the proof generated by the evaluation which fundamentally arises out of the correctness of the arguments.

Know-it-alls, spiteful types, critics, and antagonists are not aware of the actual facts about the processing of proof, because in principle they negate everything, out of their own inadequate intelligence and out of naivety, that is necessary for processing proof; yes, they are not even aware of the

prerequisites that are necessary for processing proof. Small-minded idiots wanting to compensate their stupidity, ignorance, lack of wisdom and honesty with dishonest attacks and slander in order to prop up their tarnished or weak image and their underdeveloped intelligence.

Truly, an effective processing of proof through reasoning and understanding is an enormous challenge that provokes antagonism from all the know-it-alls, adversaries, critics and those permanently full of spite. They are human beings who already would like to retaliate even before beginning their malicious, adversarial, slanderous, spiteful, mendacious and critical attacks.
